

Invariants of the Riemann tensor for Class B Warped Product Spacetimes

Kevin Santosuosso*, Denis Pollney^{†‡}, Nicos Pelavas[§], Peter Musgrave[¶]
and Kayll Lake^{‡*}

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We use the computer algebra system *GRTensorII* to examine invariants polynomial in the Riemann tensor for class *B* warped product spacetimes - those which can be decomposed into the coupled product of two 2-dimensional spaces, one Lorentzian and one Riemannian, subject to the separability of the coupling:

$$ds^2 = ds_{\Sigma_1}^2(u, v) + C(x^\gamma)^2 ds_{\Sigma_2}^2(\theta, \phi) \quad (1)$$

with $C(x^\gamma)^2 = r(u, v)^2 w(\theta, \phi)^2$ and $\text{sig}(\Sigma_1) = 0$, $\text{sig}(\Sigma_2) = 2\epsilon$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1$) for class B_1 spacetimes and $\text{sig}(\Sigma_1) = 2\epsilon$, $\text{sig}(\Sigma_2) = 0$ for class B_2 . Although very special, these spaces include many of interest, for example, *all* spherical, plane, and hyperbolic spacetimes. The first two Ricci invariants along with the Ricci scalar and the real component of the second Weyl invariant J alone are shown to constitute the largest independent set of invariants to degree five for this class. Explicit syzygies are given for other invariants up to this degree. It is argued that this set constitutes the largest functionally independent set to *any* degree for this class, and some physical consequences of the syzygies are explored.

1 Introduction

It is natural to suspect that invariants constructed from the Riemann tensor via contractions¹ should play some important role in general relativity. However,

*Department of Physics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

[†]Faculty of Mathematical Studies, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ UK

[‡]dp@maths.soton.ac.uk

[§]Department of Physics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

[¶]West End Systems Corporation, 39 Winner's Circle, Arnprior, Ontario, Canada K7S 3G9

^{||}Department of Physics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

^{**}lake@astro.queensu.ca

¹We do not consider covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor. That is, in the terminology of [1], we consider invariants of order $k = 2$.

it is only fair to say that this importance has yet to be fully realized. For example, it has been known for a very long time that this type of invariant fails to distinguish inequivalent spacetimes [2]. Indeed, the inclusion of derivatives of the Riemann tensor does not overcome this shortcoming [3]. The inequivalence problem requires a more sophisticated approach (*e.g.* [4]). Invariants are of some use in the study of spacetime singularities (distinguishing the scalar polynomial (*sp*) type (*e.g.* [5]) which is equivalent to a curvature *pp* type [6]), but even here they fail to distinguish shell crossings from more significant singularities.

Despite these shortcomings, the problem of finding a complete set of invariants for spacetime has attracted considerable recent effort [7, 8, 9, 10]. Here we set out to study spacetimes which are the product of two 2-dimensional spaces, one Lorentzian and one Riemannian, subject to a separability condition on the function which couples the 2-spaces. That is, we consider metrics of the form

$$ds^2 = ds_{\Sigma_1}^2(u, v) + C(x^\gamma)^2 ds_{\Sigma_2}^2(\theta, \phi) \quad (2)$$

subject to the restriction

$$C(x^\gamma)^2 = r(u, v)^2 w(\theta, \phi)^2. \quad (3)$$

The spaces are known as warped products of class B [11], with $\text{sig}(\Sigma_1) = 0$, $\text{sig}(\Sigma_2) = 2\epsilon$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1$) for class B_1 and $\text{sig}(\Sigma_1) = 2\epsilon$, $\text{sig}(\Sigma_2) = 0$ for class B_2 . A metric of sufficient generality for class B_1 is given by² (*e.g.* [12])

$$ds^2 = -2f(u, v)dudv + r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^2 (d\theta^2 + d\phi^2) \quad (4)$$

and for class B_2 by

$$ds^2 = f(u, v)^2 (du^2 + dv^2) - 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi) d\theta d\phi. \quad (5)$$

There are many examples of class B_1 spacetimes (for example, *all* spherical, plane, and hyperbolic spacetimes). Examples of spacetimes of class B_2 are considerably harder to find. It is known that the only physically interesting energy-momentum types of class B_2 spacetimes are non-null electromagnetic, Λ -term, or vacuum [13].

2 The number of invariants required

For a general Petrov type D metric, we can align the tetrad along the principle null directions of the Weyl tensor to find a frame in which there are in general 12 non-zero components of the curvature (complex Ψ_2 , the Ricci spinor components, and the Ricci scalar). Since this alignment leaves a two parameter group of rotational freedom in the frame ('spin' and 'boost'), the number of independent invariants for a type D spacetime is reduced by this dimension to 10.

²We assume that all functions are twice continuously differentiable. We do not consider surface layers. Note that we have chosen to write Σ_2 in conformally flat form for class B_1 .

Specializing to the given class of spacetimes, we find that if the above frame alignment is carried out, then a number of the Ricci spinor components are also reduced to zero. For class B_1 we are left with the real components Φ_{00} , Φ_{11} , and Φ_{22} , while for class B_2 , only Φ_{11} and $\Phi_{02} = \overline{\Phi}_{20}$ remain non-zero. The number of independent degrees of freedom arising from the Ricci components is thus reduced to 3. However, one of these degrees can be removed by making use of either the spin (for B_1) or boost (for B_2) freedoms still remaining in the frame.

The number of independent invariants that we should expect to find for the given class of spacetime is thus

$$2 + 2 + 1 - 1 = 4,$$

corresponding respectively to the Weyl and Ricci spinor freedoms, the Ricci scalar, and the dimension of the invariance group of these spinors.

An example of how the details of this calculation can be carried out using *GRTensorII* is given in Appendix A.

3 The invariants

The invariants we begin with here are the sixteen suggested by Carminati and McLenaghan [7] augmented with an invariant equivalent to M suggested recently by Zakhary and McIntosh [9].³ We adopt the definitions in [7] for the set⁴

$$CM = \{R, r_1, r_2, r_3, w_1, w_2, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\} \quad (7)$$

and augment these with

$$ZM = m_6 = \Psi_{ABCD} \Phi^{AE\dot{A}\dot{B}} \Phi^B_{E\dot{C}\dot{D}} \Phi^C_{F\dot{A}\dot{B}} \Phi^{DF\dot{C}\dot{D}}. \quad (8)$$

In total this set constitutes six real and six complex invariants. The completeness of this set has been examined recently [9]. It is certainly complete to degree five (degree being the total number of Weyl, conjugate Weyl, and Ricci spinors

³As an aside we note here that if we consider, in the usual way (*e.g.* [14]), the secular equation $|S_{ab} - \lambda g_{ab}| = 0$, where S_{ab} is the trace-free Ricci tensor, and g_{ab} is the metric tensor, the characteristic polynomial which follows is $\alpha_1 \lambda^4 + \alpha_2 \lambda^3 + \alpha_3 \lambda^2 + \alpha_4 \lambda + \alpha_5 = 0$. Since the α'_i 's are derived from the components of S_{ab} and g_{ab} by algebraic operations, they can be called ‘algebraic’ invariants of the tensor S_{ab} . It can be shown that for this class of spacetimes the following relationships hold between the invariants r_1, r_2, r_3 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ ($\alpha_2 = 0$ is a consequence of S_{ab} being trace-free):

$$r_1 = -\frac{\alpha_3}{2\alpha_1}, \quad r_2 = \frac{3\alpha_4}{8\alpha_1}, \quad r_3 = \frac{\alpha_3^2}{8\alpha_1^2} - \frac{\alpha_5}{4\alpha_1}. \quad (6)$$

⁴Two scalars found frequently in the physical literature are the Kretschmann scalar ($RiemSq \equiv R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$, R_{abcd} the Riemann tensor) and the square of the Ricci tensor ($RicciSq \equiv R_{ab}R^{ab}$, R_{ab} the Ricci tensor). In terms of the set (7) these are given simply by $RiemSq = R^2/6 + 8(r_1 + Re(w_1))$ and $RicciSq = (R^2 + r_1)/4$.

whose contractions make up an invariant)⁵. In what follows we *explicitly* reduce this set of eighteen invariants to four for the spacetimes under consideration by way of syzygies [15]. All syzygies have been developed and tested with the aid of the computer algebra package *GRTensorII* [16].⁶

4 Syzygies

Syzygies follow from a knowledge of which spinor components are non-zero. In the present case the important features are that these components are real and that the Weyl and Plebanski tensors (*e.g.* [15]) share the same principal null directions and are of type D (or O). Since spacetimes of the form (2) with (3) are of Petrov type D (or O), we have the well-known elementary syzygy⁷

$$6w_2^2 - w_1^3 = 0. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, for any Petrov type D (or O) spacetime we have [17]

$$(3m_2 - w_1 r_1)w_1 - 3m_1 w_2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

and

$$(3m_5 - w_1 \bar{m}_1)w_1 - 3m_3 w_2 = 0, \quad (12)$$

⁵It is a simple (though tedious, unless carried out electronically) matter to write down expressions for all index contractions among any set of n curvature spinors. If this is done to degree five, it is found that for *general* spacetimes every invariant which is not included in the CM+ZM set satisfies an identity allowing it to be written as a rational function of members of the set. Thus, there are no invariants of degree less than or equal to five to be added to the CM+ZM set. [10]

⁶GRTensorII is a package which runs within MapleV. It is entirely distinct from packages distributed with MapleV and must be obtained independently. The GRTensorII software and documentation is distributed freely on the World-Wide-Web from the address <http://www.astro.queensu.ca/~grtensor/GRHome.html> or <http://www.maths.soton.ac.uk/~dp/grtensor/>. Worksheets which reproduce the syzygies reported here can be downloaded from these sites.

⁷All syzygies can in fact be obtained *algorithmically*. By appropriately defining the “degree” of a syzygy, the most general syzygy can be constructed for any particular “degree”. Expanding and collecting like terms allows the coefficients to form a linear system of equations which are then solved to find the coefficients of the syzygy. If there are no nonzero solutions after the linear system is solved, then the syzygy must be of a different “degree”. The definition of “degree” in this case imposes an ordering, allowing a sequential search to be executed. In any particular case (*e.g.* as considered in this paper) the number of degrees of freedom can be counted and so the search for syzygies can be made exhaustive. Whereas degree is commonly used as a single parameter, as given in Section 3 above, a multi-parameter definition of degree is more convenient here. For example, an invariant can be given a “degree” $[A, B, C]$, where A refers to the exponent on the Weyl spinor, B refers to the number of multiplications in the Ricci spinor, and C refers to the exponent on the conjugate Weyl spinor. In this notation, for the types of spacetimes we consider here, only two parameters are necessary, $[A, B]$. Given a rule of composition: for invariants $I1 = [A1, B1]$ and $I2 = [A2, B2]$, the degree of $I1 * I2$ is

$$[A1, B1] + [A2, B2] = [A1 + A2, B1 + B2], \quad (9)$$

the parameter space given by $[A, B]$ can then be searched exhaustively for the existence of syzygies.

where $\overline{m}_1$ is the complex conjugate of m_1 .⁸ Equivalent syzygies have been given by Zakhary and McIntosh for their set of invariants [9]. We observe the following additional syzygies [18]

$$6m_4 + w_1 r_2 = 0 \quad (13)$$

and

$$m_3 - m_2 = 0. \quad (14)$$

Further, we observe that [19]⁹

$$(-12r_3 + 7r_1^2)w_1 m_1 - (12r_2^2 - 36r_1 r_3 + 17r_1^3)w_2 = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$2(3m_6 - m_1 r_1)w_2 + m_1^2 w_1 = 0, \quad (16)$$

and that

$$(-12r_3 + 7r_1^2)^3 - (12r_2^2 - 36r_1 r_3 + 17r_1^3)^2 = 0. \quad (17)$$

The syzygy (17) is the counterpart for the Plebanski tensor to the syzygy (10) for the Weyl tensor.¹⁰ We expect that the syzygies (10) through (17) will hold in a wider class of spacetimes than type *B* warped products.

5 The independent set of invariants

The syzygies (11) through (17) remove the independence of m_2 , m_5 , m_4 , m_3 , m_1 , m_6 , and r_3 respectively. According to the syzygy (10) the sign of w_2 (which changes with signature) cannot be obtained from w_1 whereas $w_1 > 0$. This suggests that for metrics of the form (2) with (3) we take the set

$$\{R, r_1, r_2, w_2\} \quad (18)$$

as the independent set of scalar polynomial invariants, satisfying the number of degrees of freedom in the curvature.

We note, however, that these do not necessarily constitute a *complete* set in the sense of classical invariant theory, where the set is considered complete only if every invariant not contained in the set can be written as an integral rational function of the other members of the set [20]. This is clearly not the case here, for $w_1 = \Psi_2^2$ can not be written as an integral rational function of $w_2 = \Psi_2^3$, nor vice versa, whereas r_3 is determined as the root of a cubic from (17).

⁸For type *D* w_1 and w_2 are non-zero, whereas for type *O* (or *N* or *III*) both w_1 and w_2 vanish. In the set *CM*, for a general Ricci tensor, there remain 10 invariants for type *D*, 9 for type *III* (since $m_1 R = m_2 C = m_5 R = 0$ for the real (*R*) and imaginary (*C*) parts), 7 for type *N* (since $m_1 C = m_2 = m_5 = 0$) and of course 4 for type *O*.

⁹Regarding syzygy (15) we note that $m_1 = 0 \Rightarrow 7r_1^2 = 12r_3$ and that $7r_1^2 = 12r_3 \Rightarrow m_1 = 0$ or $m_1 w_1 = 3w_2 r_1$.

¹⁰ We thank a referee for pointing this out.

From a practical standpoint, however, we are more concerned with isolating the functional degrees of freedom in the curvature, since by considering a more general interdependence (ie. by allowing non-integer exponents in our polynomials) we can in principle solve for any other of the remaining invariants in terms of the given set. By inspection of the invariants listed in (18), it is clear that they are functionally independent and that they make up the required number of degrees of freedom (*i.e.* 4) for the class of spacetimes in question, and in this sense we can consider them to be a ‘complete’ list.

The invariants are reproduced in Appendix B.

6 Some consequences of the syzygies

The syzygies are certainly of value for computational efficiency. However, understanding the physical benefit of the syzygies is somewhat more difficult. Mixed invariants have been used to provide a number of alignment theorems for the perfect fluid and Maxwell cases [7] and to classify the Riemann spinor [21]. Our purpose here is to provide some physical consequences of the syzygies presented by way of expressing them in terms of the electric and magnetic components of the Weyl tensor, and to show how the syzygy (17) can be used to impose restrictions on physical quantities in the energy-momentum tensor.

It is convenient to revert to classical notation. First we consider the Weyl tensor (C_{abcd}). The “electric” and “magnetic” parts are defined, as usual, by

$$E_{ac} = C_{abcd}u^b u^d, \quad (19)$$

and

$$H_{ac} = C_{abcd}^* u^b u^d, \quad (20)$$

where u^a is a unit timelike vector and $C_{abcd}^* = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{abef}C^{ef}_{cd}$ the dual tensor. Writing

$$Q_{ab} = E_{ab} + iH_{ab} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\overline{C}_{abcd} = C_{abcd} + iC_{abcd}^*, \quad (22)$$

directly from the expression

$$4u_{[a}Q_{b][d}u_{c]} + g_{a[c}Q_{d]b} - g_{b[c}Q_{d]a} + i\eta_{abef}u^e u_{[c}Q_{d]}^f + i\eta_{cdef}u^e u_{[a}Q_{b]}^f \quad (23)$$

for $-\frac{1}{2}\overline{C}_{abcd}$ (e.g., [1]) it follows that

$$\overline{C}_{abcd}\overline{C}^{abcd} = Q_{ab}Q^{ab} \quad (24)$$

and that

$$C_{ab}{}^{cd}\overline{C}_{ef}{}^{ab}\overline{C}_{cd}{}^{ef} = Q_a^a Q_b^b Q_c^c. \quad (25)$$

Equivalently, it follows that w_1 ($= 2I$) is given by

$$\frac{1}{16}(E_{ab}E^{ab} - H_{ab}H^{ab}) + \frac{i}{8}(H_{ab}E^{ab}) \quad (26)$$

and that w_2 ($= 6J$) is given by

$$\frac{1}{32}(3E^a{}_b H^b{}_c H^c{}_a - E^a{}_b E^b{}_c E^c{}_a) + \frac{i}{32}(H^a{}_b H^b{}_c H^c{}_a - 3E^a{}_b E^b{}_c H^c{}_a). \quad (27)$$

Relations (26) and (27) hold *in general* and are *independent* of the timelike vector chosen to split the Weyl tensor into its electric and magnetic parts. These expressions can, for example, be used to clarify the relationship between the criterion of Bel and those of Misra and Singh. The Bel condition [22] is that $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ if and only if the spacetime is of Petrov type N or III (or, of course O). The condition of Misra and Singh [23] can be stated as $E_{ab}E^{ab} = H_{ab}H^{ab}$ and $E^a{}_b E^b{}_c E^c{}_a = H^a{}_b H^b{}_c H^c{}_a$. Expressions (26) and (27) show that these conditions are *inequivalent*, contrary to the claim by Zakharov [24].

Independence from the timelike vector chosen to split the Weyl tensor does not, of course, extend into the mixed invariants. For example, it follows that the real and imaginary parts of $8m_1$ are given by

$$C_{abcd}S^{ac}S^{bd} = 2E^a{}_b S^b{}_c S^c{}_a - 2E_{ab}S^{ab}S_{cd}u^c u^d + S^a{}_c u^c E_{ab}S^b{}_d u^d, \quad (28)$$

and

$$C^*_{abcd}S^{ac}S^{bd} = 2H^a{}_b S^b{}_c S^c{}_a - 2H_{ab}S^{ab}S_{cd}u^c u^d + S^a{}_c u^c H_{ab}S^b{}_d u^d, \quad (29)$$

respectively, where S_{ab} is the trace-free Ricci tensor. Expressions for the next degree of mixed invariants (m_2 in the notation of [7]) have been evaluated but the results are rather unwieldy (they contain 16 independent terms). The important point is that with the appearance of the vector $S^a{}_c u^c$ and scalar $S_{cd}u^c u^d$ it becomes necessary to introduce an explicit form of S_{ab} in order to proceed. This avenue is explored briefly below.

Relations equivalent to (24) (or (26)) have been reexamined recently by Bonnor [25]. Relations equivalent to (25) (or (27)) have been given by McIntosh *et al.* [26] for Petrov types I (or D).

Specializing now to real Ψ_2 we observe that

$$H_{ab}E^{ab} = 0, \quad (30)$$

and that

$$H^a{}_b H^b{}_c H^c{}_a = 3E^a{}_b E^b{}_c H^c{}_a. \quad (31)$$

Moreover, from (9) we obtain the further relation

$$24(3E^a{}_b H^b{}_c H^c{}_a - E^a{}_b E^b{}_c E^c{}_a)^2 = (E_{ab}E^{ab} - H_{ab}H^{ab})^3. \quad (32)$$

It is perhaps worth emphasizing the fact that relations (30), (31), and (32) hold for all Petrov type D spacetimes with real Ψ_2 . This includes a much wider class than class B warped product spaces.

We now wish to explore any restrictions that the syzygy (17) might impose on physical quantities in the energy-momentum tensor. First, however, recall that at most three Ricci invariants are independent. Finding syzygies associated with Ricci invariants of higher degree¹¹ is a purely algorithmic procedure.¹² Ricci syzygies up to r_{10} are given in Appendix C.

To begin, consider a perfect fluid,

$$T_{ab} = (\rho + p)u_a u_b + p g_{ab} \quad (33)$$

where ρ is the energy density, p is the (isotropic) pressure, and u^a is the normalized timelike flow vector. The Ricci invariants in this case all reduce to the form

$$r_n = m_n(\rho + p)^{n+1}, \quad (34)$$

where m_n is a constant. The syzygy (17) reduces to the identity $0 = 0$. Increasing the complexity of the energy-momentum tensor slightly does not offer anything new. For both the case of anisotropic pressure [27]

$$T_{ab} = (\rho + p_2)u_a u_b + (p_1 - p_2)n_a n_b + p_2 g_{ab}, \quad (35)$$

where n^a is a normalized spacelike vector orthogonal to u^a ($u_a n^a = 0$), and for the case of a perfect fluid with a heat flux [28],

$$T_{ab} = (\rho + p)u_a u_b + p g_{ab} + 2u_{(a} q_{b)}, \quad (36)$$

where q^a is the heat flux vector such that $u_a q^a = 0$, the syzygy (17) again returns the identity $0 = 0$. No information on possible relationships between the physical quantities that describe the spacetime can be gained from the syzygy (17) in these cases. To obtain any restriction, a more complex physical decomposition must be considered. The simplest energy-momentum tensor for which the syzygy provides any restriction is one with anisotropic pressure *and* a heat flux:

$$T_{ab} = (\rho + p_2)u_a u_b + (p_1 - p_2)n_a n_b + p_2 g_{ab} + 2u_{(a} q_{b)}. \quad (37)$$

In this case the syzygy (17) reduces to

$$(q_a q^a - (n_a q^a)^2)^2 (p_1 - p_2)^2 P = 0, \quad (38)$$

¹¹These are constructed analogously to r_1 , r_2 , and r_3 . For example, $r_4 \equiv -\frac{1}{32} S_a{}^b S_b{}^c S_c{}^d S_d{}^e S_e{}^a \equiv \Phi_{AB\dot{A}\dot{B}} \Phi^B{}_C{}^{\dot{B}}{}_{\dot{C}} \Phi^C{}_D{}^{\dot{C}}{}_{\dot{D}} \Phi^D{}_E{}^{\dot{D}}{}_{\dot{E}} \Phi^{EA\dot{E}}$.

¹²All possible combinations of r_1 , r_2 and r_3 and r_n (for each $n \geq 4$) are combined at degree $n + 1$. Expressing this combination as a polynomial in the Ricci components, the coefficients of any one of the Ricci components will form a linear system of equations that can be solved to find the numerical coefficients of the syzygy.

where P is a polynomial of degree 4 in

$$\{\rho, p_1, p_2, n_a q^a\} \quad (39)$$

and degree 3 in $q_a q^a$. If $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $q_a q^a \neq (n_a q^a)^2$ then the syzygy (17) reduces to the equation $P = 0$ and this reduces the number of independent physical scalars to four, the number of degrees of freedom. P is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & -p^2 \rho^4 - p^1 \rho^4 + 2p_1 p_2 \rho^4 - 2p^2 \rho^3 - 4p^2 (n_a q^a)^2 \rho^3 + 4(n_a q^a)^2 p_1 \rho^3 + \\ & 2q_a q^a p_2 \rho^3 - 2q_a q^a p_1 \rho^3 - 2p^1 \rho^3 + 2p^1 p_2 \rho^3 + 2p_1 p^2 \rho^3 - (q_a q^a)^2 \rho^2 - \\ & 2p^1 p_2 \rho^2 + 6(n_a q^a)^2 p^1 \rho^2 - p^1 \rho^2 + 8q_a q^a p^2 \rho^2 + 2q_a q^a p^1 \rho^2 - 2p_1 p^2 \rho^2 - \\ & 6p^2 (n_a q^a)^2 \rho^2 - p^2 \rho^2 - 10q_a q^a p_1 p_2 \rho^2 + 6p^1 p^2 \rho^2 + 8(q_a q^a)^2 p_1 \rho - \\ & 30p^2 (n_a q^a)^2 p_1 \rho + 2q_a q^a p^2 \rho + 6p^2 (n_a q^a)^2 \rho + 8q_a q^a p^1 \rho + 2p^1 p^2 \rho + \\ & 30p^1 (n_a q^a)^2 p_2 \rho + 2p^2 p^1 \rho + 18q_a q^a p_2 (n_a q^a)^2 \rho - 6p^1 (n_a q^a)^2 \rho - \\ & 2p_1 p^2 \rho + 10q_a q^a p^2 p_1 \rho - 10(q_a q^a)^2 p_2 \rho - 2p^2 p^1 \rho - 18q_a q^a (n_a q^a)^2 p_1 \rho - \\ & 20p^1 q_a q^a p_2 \rho + 2p^1 p^2 q_a q^a - 18q_a q^a p^2 (n_a q^a)^2 + 10p^1 (n_a q^a)^2 p_2 - \\ & 10p^2 (n_a q^a)^2 p_1 - p^2 p^1 - (q_a q^a)^2 p^2 - 4(n_a q^a)^2 p^1 - 8p^1 q_a q^a p_2 + \\ & 4q_a q^a p^1 - 8p_1 (q_a q^a)^2 p_2 + 4(q_a q^a)^3 + 2p^2 p_1 q_a q^a + 2p^2 p^1 + \\ & 54(n_a q^a)^2 p_1 q_a q^a p_2 + 4p^2 (n_a q^a)^2 - 54(n_a q^a)^4 p_1 p_2 + 27p^2 (n_a q^a)^4 + \\ & 27(n_a q^a)^4 p^1 - 36q_a q^a (n_a q^a)^2 p^1 - p^1 p^2 + 8(q_a q^a)^2 p^1. \end{aligned}$$

It is a pleasure to thank Malcolm MacCallum for a number of useful suggestions and two referees whose comments helped to improve this paper. This work was supported in part by a grant (to KL) from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] Kramer D, Stephani H, Herlt E, MacCallum M and Schmutzer E 1980 *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Equations* (Cambridge: CUP)
- [2] Narlikar V V and Karmarkar K R 1948 *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci* **A29**, 91
13
- [3] Koutras A and McIntosh C 1996 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** L47
- [4] MacCallum M A H and Skea J E F 1994 SHEEP: A computer algebra system for general relativity *Algebraic Computing in General Relativity* ed M.J. Rebouças and W.L. Roque (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [5] Tipler F J Clarke C J S and Ellis G F R 1980 Singularities and Horizons-A Review Article *General Relativity and Gravitation Volume 2* ed A Held (New York: Plenum)
- [6] Siklos S T C 1979 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **10** 1003
- [7] Carminati J and McLenaghan R G 1991 *J. Math. Phys.* **32** 3135
- [8] Sneddon G E 1996 *J. Math. Phys.* **37** 1059
- [9] Zakhary E and McIntosh C B G 1997 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **29** 539
- [10] Pollney D 1996 (Report, unpublished)
- [11] Carot J, da Costa J 1993 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** 461
- [12] Nakahara M 1990 *Geometry, Topology and Physics* (Bristol: IOP)
- [13] Haddow B M and Carot J 1996 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13** 289.
- [14] Stephani H 1990 *General Relativity* (Cambridge: CUP)
- [15] Penrose R and Rindler W 1986 *Spinors and space-time Volume 2* (Cambridge: CUP)
- [16] Musgrave P Pollney D and Lake K 1994-1998 *GRTensorII* (Kingston, Ontario: Queen's University)
- [17] Pollney D 1995 (Report, unpublished)

¹³ It is of historical interest to note that Narlikar and Karmarkar suggested (in our notation) that the set $\{R, r_1, r_2, w_1\}$ constitutes the independent set of invariants for spherically symmetric spacetimes.

- [18] Musgrave P 1996 (Report, unpublished)
- [19] Santosuosso K 1997 (Report, unpublished)
- [20] Gurevich, G B 1964 *Foundations of the Theory of Algebraic Invariants* (Groningen: Noordhoff)
- [21] Haddow B M 1996 *Gen. Rel. Grav* **28** 481
- [22] Bel L 1962 in *Les Théories Relativistes de la Gravitation* (Paris: CNRS)
- [23] Misra R M and Singh R A 1967 *J. Math. Phys.* **8** 1065
- [24] Zakharov V D 1973 *Gravitational Waves in Einstein's Theory* (New York: Halsted Press)
- [25] Bonnor W B 1995 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 499 (and corrigendum)
- [26] McIntosh C B G, Arianrhod R , Wade S T and Hoenselaers C 1994 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** 1555
- [27] Maartens R and Maharaj M S 1990 *J. Math. Phys.* **31** 151
- [28] Ellis G F R 1971 Relativistic Cosmology in *International School of Physics Course XLVII* ed B K Sachs (New York: Academic Press)

7 Appendix A: Curvature components of the Class B_1 warped product spacetimes

The following session demonstrates how *GRTensorII* can be used to fix the frame components of the curvature relative to a ‘standard’ form by applying $SL(2, C)$ rotations of the frame vectors. The standard frame is defined so as to make use of this rotational freedom in order to reduce the number of independent functions among the curvature components. Once the frame is fixed as far as possible, the subgroup of $SL(2, C)$ under which the resulting curvature components are invariant is reported. The curvature components in the standard frame are called ‘Karlhede invariants’ for their usefulness in determining the equivalence of spacetimes (e.g. [4]).

Within MapleV, we restart a fresh session and initialize the *GRTensorII* package.

```
> restart;
> grtw():
> qload (B1);
```

Calculated ds for B1

Default spacetime = B1

Coordinates

$$x^a = [u, v, \theta, \phi]$$

Line element

$$ds^2 = -2f(u, v) \, du \, dv + r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^2 \, d\theta^2 + r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^2 \, d\phi^2$$

We have loaded a line element corresponding to the class B_1 warped product spacetimes. However, we will eventually wish to examine the spinor components of the curvature. The function `nptetrad()` can be used to *automatically* create a null (specifically, Newman-Penrose) tetrad from the metric. This tetrad will then be used to calculate the curvature spinors in a spin frame corresponding to the null frame.

```
> nptetrad();
```

The metric signature of the B1 spacetime is +2.
In order to create an NP-tetrad, the signature of g(dn,dn) will be changed to -2.
Continue? (1=yes [default], other=no) :

```
> 1;
```

The signature of the B1 spacetime is now -2.

For the B1 spacetime :

Basis inner product

$$\eta^{(a)(b)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Null tetrad (covariant components)

$$l_a = [0, f(u, v), 0, 0]$$

$$n_a = [1, 0, 0, 0]$$

$$m_a = \left[0, 0, -\frac{1}{2} I \sqrt{2} g(\theta, \phi) r(u, v), -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} g(\theta, \phi) r(u, v) \right]$$

$$mbar_a = \left[0, 0, \frac{1}{2} I \sqrt{2} g(\theta, \phi) r(u, v), -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} g(\theta, \phi) r(u, v) \right]$$

The null tetrad has been stored as `e(bdn,dn)`.

The curvature spinors are defined as the NP scalars `{WeylSc, RicciSc, and Lambda}` in the standard *GRTensorII* package. However, they are also defined specifically as spinors `{WeylSp, RicciSp, and Lambda}` in the `spinor.m` package, which we now load. By calculating the latter objects, we can make use of the spinor packages' facilities for performing frame rotations and fixing a standard form for symmetric spinors.

```
> grlib(spinor);
```

```
Spinors and spacetime classification routines
Version 0.9 4 Dec 1997
```

```
> grcalc(WeylSp,RicciSp,Lambda);
```

```
Basis/tetrad related object definitions
Last modified 5 February 1997
```

```
Calculated WeylSp for B1
```

```
Calculated RicciSp for B1
```

```
Calculated Lambda for B1
```

The next command expands the tensor components (the first argument, ‘_’, tells the command to refer to the previously calculated spinors) and applies a function which aliases the output to make it more readable.

```
> gralter(_,expand,autoAlias);
```

Component simplification of a GRTensorII object:

```
Applying routine expand to object WeylSp
Applying routine expand to object RicciSp
Applying routine expand to object Lambda
Applying routine autoAlias to object WeylSp
Applying routine autoAlias to object RicciSp
Applying routine autoAlias to object Lambda
```

```
> grdisplay(_);
```

For the B1 spacetime :

$$\Psi_{20} = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{g_\phi^2}{r^2 g^4} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{g_\theta^2}{r^2 g^4} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_{u,v}}{r f} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_u r_v}{r^2 f} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{f_{u,v}}{f^2} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{f_u f_v}{f^3} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{g_{\theta,\theta}}{r^2 g^3} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{g_{\phi,\phi}}{r^2 g^3}$$

$$\Phi_{00} = \frac{r_u f_u}{r f} - \frac{r_{u,u}}{r}$$

$$\Phi_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_u r_v}{r^2 f} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_\phi^2}{r^2 g^4} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_\theta^2}{r^2 g^4} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{f_{u,v}}{f^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{f_u f_v}{f^3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{\theta,\theta}}{r^2 g^3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{\phi,\phi}}{r^2 g^3}$$

$$\Phi_{22} = -\frac{r_{v,v}}{r f^2} + \frac{r_v f_v}{r f^3}$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_\phi^2}{r^2 g^4} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_\theta^2}{r^2 g^4} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r_u r_v}{r^2 f} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_{u,v}}{r f} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{f_{u,v}}{f^2} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{f_u f_v}{f^3} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_{\theta,\theta}}{r^2 g^3} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_{\phi,\phi}}{r^2 g^3}$$

At this point, we see that the Weyl spinor is already in what we would regard as a ‘standard’ form. That is, the l and n vectors of the frame are aligned along the principle null directions so that the only remaining Weyl component is Ψ_2 . In this form, the Weyl spinor is invariant under the $SL(2, C)$ subgroups of spins and boosts, as we can check using the function `isotest()`. Thus by requiring the Weyl spinor to take this form, we have already lost four degrees of frame rotational freedom.

```
> isotest(WeylSp);
```

{lnswap, Spin, Boost}

(`lnswap` refers to the discrete group corresponding to a swap of the l and n basis vectors). We can apply the same procedure to the Ricci spinor,

```
> isotest(RicciSp);
      {lnswap, Spin}
```

and learn that the Ricci spinor is, in fact, not invariant under boosts of the frame. That is, we can remove this freedom from the frame by using it to restrict the components of the Ricci tensor which are non-invariant under boosts so that they fit some standard form. A set of standard forms for symmetric spinors is known to the function `dytrgen()`, which can now be used to generate the appropriate transformation of the frame. In this particular case, it chooses a boost which will cause the $|\Phi_{00}| = |\Phi_{22}|$.

```
> T:=dytrgen(Boost,RicciSp);
> evalm(T);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{-r_{v,v}f + r_v f_v}{f^2(-r_u f_u + r_{u,u}f)}\right)^{1/8} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\left(-\frac{-r_{v,v}f + r_v f_v}{f^2(-r_u f_u + r_{u,u}f)}\right)^{1/8}} \end{bmatrix}$$

The dyad transformation T is applied to the curvature spinors using the `applydytr()` function. The following command creates a new frame called `stdB` by applying the spinor dyad transformation, `dytr=T`, to the curvature spinors. (In fact, since `WeylSp` and `Lambda` are invariant under T , their components are simply copied across to the new frame.) By specifying `rotateTetrad`, we also ensure that the frame vectors $\{l, n, m, \bar{m}\}$ are themselves rotated.

```
> applydytr(WeylSp,RicciSp,Lambda,dytr=T,
rotateTetrad=auto,newname=stdB1);
```

Transformation completed.
The rotated frame has been named 'stdB1'.

We now switch to the new frame and examine the spinor components.

```
> grmetric(stdB1);
```

Default metric is now stdB1

```
> gralter(RicciSp,radsimp);
```

Component simplification of a GRTensorII object:
Applying routine `radsimp` to object `RicciSp`

```
> grdisplay(WeylSp,RicciSp,Lambda);
      For the stdB1 spacetime :
```

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{2\,0} = & -\frac{1}{6} \frac{g_\phi^2}{r^2 g^4} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{g_\theta^2}{r^2 g^4} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_{u,v}}{r f} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_u r_v}{r^2 f} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{f_{u,v}}{f^2} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{f_u f_v}{f^3} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{g_{\theta,\theta}}{r^2 g^3} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{g_{\phi,\phi}}{r^2 g^3} \\
\Phi_{0\,0} = & \frac{I \sqrt{r_{v,v} f - r_v f_v} \sqrt{r_u f_u - r_{u,u} f}}{f^2 r} \\
\Phi_{1\,1} = & \frac{1}{4} (2 g^4 r_u r_v f^2 + f^3 g_\phi^2 + f^3 g_\theta^2 - g^4 f_{u,v} r^2 f + g^4 f_u f_v r^2 - f^3 g_{\theta,\theta} - f^3 g_{\phi,\phi}) \\
& / (r^2 g^4 f^3) \\
\Phi_{2\,2} = & \frac{I \sqrt{r_{v,v} f - r_v f_v} \sqrt{r_u f_u - r_{u,u} f}}{f^2 r} \\
\Lambda = & \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_\phi^2}{r^2 g^4} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_\theta^2}{r^2 g^4} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{r_u r_v}{r^2 f} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_{u,v}}{r f} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{f_{u,v}}{f^2} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{f_u f_v}{f^3} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_{\theta,\theta}}{r^2 g^3} \\
& - \frac{1}{12} \frac{g_{\phi,\phi}}{r^2 g^3}
\end{aligned}$$

At this point the frame has been fixed as far as possible, as the curvature spinor components are all invariant under the remaining 1-parameter continuous isotropy, spins. We can count the number of independent functions among the components and subtract the dimension of the frame freedom to determine that four scalar polynomial invariants are expected.

8 Appendix B: Invariants of Class B warped product spacetimes

We record here the invariants $\{R, r_1, r_2, w_2\}$.

For type B_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = & -2 f(u, v) \, du \, dv + r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^2 \, d\theta^2 + r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^2 \, d\phi^2 \\
R = & 2(-r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_u f_v + r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_{u,v} f(u, v) \\
& + 4 r(u, v) g(\theta, \phi)^4 r_{u,v} f(u, v)^2 + f(u, v)^3 g_\phi^2 - f(u, v)^3 g_{\phi,\phi} g(\theta, \phi) \\
& + f(u, v)^3 g_\theta^2 - f(u, v)^3 g_{\theta,\theta} g(\theta, \phi) + 2 f(u, v)^2 r_u g(\theta, \phi)^4 r_v) / (f(u, v)^3 \\
& r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R1 = & \frac{1}{4}(2f(u, v)^6 g_\phi^2 g_\theta^2 + f(u, v)^6 g_{\phi, \phi}^2 g(\theta, \phi)^2 + f(u, v)^6 g_{\theta, \theta}^2 g(\theta, \phi)^2 \\
& + 12r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^8 f_u f_v f(u, v)^2 r_u r_v + r(u, v)^4 g(\theta, \phi)^8 f_{u, v}^2 f(u, v)^2 \\
& + 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^5 f_{u, v} f(u, v)^4 g_{\phi, \phi} - 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_{u, v} f(u, v)^4 g_\theta^2 \\
& + 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^5 f_{u, v} f(u, v)^4 g_{\theta, \theta} - 4r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^8 f_{u, v} f(u, v)^3 r_u r_v \\
& - 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_{u, v} f(u, v)^4 g_\phi^2 + r(u, v)^4 g(\theta, \phi)^8 f_u^2 f_v^2 \\
& - 8f(u, v)^3 r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^8 r_{v, v} f_u r_u - 8f(u, v)^3 r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^8 f_v r_v r_{u, u} \\
& - 2f(u, v)^6 g_\phi^2 g_{\theta, \theta} g(\theta, \phi) + 4f(u, v)^5 g_\phi^2 r_u g(\theta, \phi)^4 r_v \\
& - 2f(u, v)^6 g_{\phi, \phi} g(\theta, \phi) g_\theta^2 + 2f(u, v)^6 g_{\phi, \phi} g(\theta, \phi)^2 g_{\theta, \theta} \\
& - 4f(u, v)^5 g_{\phi, \phi} g(\theta, \phi)^5 r_u r_v - 2f(u, v)^6 g_\theta^2 g_{\theta, \theta} g(\theta, \phi) \\
& + 4f(u, v)^5 g_\theta^2 r_u g(\theta, \phi)^4 r_v - 2r(u, v)^4 g(\theta, \phi)^8 f_u f_v f_{u, v} f(u, v) \\
& + 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_u f_v f(u, v)^3 g_\phi^2 - 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^5 f_u f_v f(u, v)^3 g_{\phi, \phi} \\
& + 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_u f_v f(u, v)^3 g_\theta^2 - 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^5 f_u f_v f(u, v)^3 g_{\theta, \theta} \\
& - 4f(u, v)^5 g_{\theta, \theta} g(\theta, \phi)^5 r_u r_v + 4f(u, v)^4 r_u^2 g(\theta, \phi)^8 r_v^2 \\
& + 8f(u, v)^4 r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^8 r_{v, v} r_{u, u} - 2f(u, v)^6 g_\phi^2 g_{\phi, \phi} g(\theta, \phi) \\
& + f(u, v)^6 g_\phi^4 + f(u, v)^6 g_\theta^4) / (f(u, v)^6 r(u, v)^4 g(\theta, \phi)^8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R2 = & -\frac{3}{2}(r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_u f_v - r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_{u, v} f(u, v) + f(u, v)^3 g_\phi^2 \\
& - f(u, v)^3 g_{\phi, \phi} g(\theta, \phi) + f(u, v)^3 g_\theta^2 - f(u, v)^3 g_{\theta, \theta} g(\theta, \phi) \\
& + 2f(u, v)^2 r_u g(\theta, \phi)^4 r_v)(-r_{v, v} f(u, v) + f_v r_v)(r_{u, u} f(u, v) - f_u r_u) / (\\
& f(u, v)^7 r(u, v)^4 g(\theta, \phi)^4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W2R = & -\frac{1}{36}(r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_{u, v} f(u, v) - r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^4 f_u f_v \\
& - 2r(u, v) g(\theta, \phi)^4 r_{u, v} f(u, v)^2 + f(u, v)^3 g_\phi^2 - f(u, v)^3 g_{\phi, \phi} g(\theta, \phi) \\
& + f(u, v)^3 g_\theta^2 - f(u, v)^3 g_{\theta, \theta} g(\theta, \phi) + 2f(u, v)^2 r_u g(\theta, \phi)^4 r_v)^3 / (f(u, v)^9 \\
& r(u, v)^6 g(\theta, \phi)^{12})
\end{aligned}$$

For type B_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = & f(u, v)^2 du^2 + f(u, v)^2 dv^2 - 2r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi) d\theta d\phi \\
R = & 2(r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^3 f_v^2 - r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{v, v} f(u, v) + r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^3 f_u^2 \\
& - r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{u, u} f(u, v) - 2r(u, v) g(\theta, \phi)^3 r_{u, u} f(u, v)^2 \\
& - 2r(u, v) g(\theta, \phi)^3 r_{v, v} f(u, v)^2 - f(u, v)^4 g_\theta g_\phi + f(u, v)^4 g_{\phi, \theta} g(\theta, \phi) \\
& - f(u, v)^2 r_u^2 g(\theta, \phi)^3 - f(u, v)^2 r_v^2 g(\theta, \phi)^3) / (f(u, v)^4 r(u, v)^2 g(\theta, \phi)^3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R1 = & \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_v^4 + \mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_u^4 + \mathbf{f}(u, v)^8 g_\theta^2 g_\phi^2 \\
& + \mathbf{f}(u, v)^8 g_{\phi, \theta}^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^2 + \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 r_u^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 + \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 r_v^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 r_u^2 - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 r_v^2 \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_u^2 f_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v) + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_u^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_\theta g_\phi \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^4 f_u^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_{\phi, \theta} + 10\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_u^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_u^2 \\
& + 10\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_u^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_v^2 + \mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{u, u}^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^5 g_\theta g_\phi + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^4 f_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^5 g_{\phi, \theta} \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 r_u^2 - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 r_v^2 \\
& + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{u, u}^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 - 8\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 f_u r_u \\
& + 8\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 r_v f_v - 4\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 r_{v, v} \\
& + 8\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_u r_u \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 r_{v, v} + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_v^2 f_u^2 \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_v^2 f_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v) + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_v^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_\theta g_\phi \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^4 f_v^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_{\phi, \theta} + 10\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_v^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_u^2 \\
& + 10\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_v^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_v^2 - 16\mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{u, v} f_v r_u \\
& - 16\mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{u, v} f_u r_v - 2\mathbf{f}(u, v)^8 g_\theta g_\phi g_{\phi, \theta} \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi) \\
& + 2\mathbf{f}(u, v)^6 g_\theta g_\phi r_u^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 + 2\mathbf{f}(u, v)^6 g_\theta g_\phi r_v^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 \\
& - 2\mathbf{f}(u, v)^6 g_{\phi, \theta} \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^4 r_u^2 - 2\mathbf{f}(u, v)^6 g_{\phi, \theta} \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^4 r_v^2 \\
& + 2\mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 r_u^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_v^2 + 8\mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{u, v}^2 \\
& - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_v^2 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v) + \mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{v, v}^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 \\
& + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 f_{u, u} - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^5 g_\theta g_\phi \\
& + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^4 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^5 g_{\phi, \theta} + 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_{v, v}^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 \\
& - 8\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 r_v f_v \mathbf{f}(u, v)^3 r_{v, v} - 2\mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v) f_u^2) / (\\
& \mathbf{f}(u, v)^8 \mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^6)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R2 = & -\frac{3}{8}(4\mathbf{f}(u, v) f_u r_u r_{v, v} + 4f_v^2 r_v^2 - 4\mathbf{f}(u, v) r_{u, u} f_u r_u \\
& - 2r_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_{v, v} + r_{u, u}^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 + 4f_u^2 r_u^2 + r_{v, v}^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 + 4f_u^2 r_v^2 \\
& + 4f_v^2 r_u^2 + 4r_{u, v}^2 \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 + 4r_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v) r_v f_v - 8\mathbf{f}(u, v) r_{u, v} f_u r_v \\
& - 4r_v f_v \mathbf{f}(u, v) r_{v, v} - 8r_{u, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v) f_v r_u)(\mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_\theta g_\phi - \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_{\phi, \theta} \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi) \\
& + \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_u^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 + \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_v^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 + \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_v^2 \\
& - \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{v, v} \mathbf{f}(u, v) + \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_u^2 \\
& - \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{u, u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)) / (\mathbf{f}(u, v)^{10} \mathbf{r}(u, v)^4 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W2R = & -\frac{1}{36}(\mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_v^2 - \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{v,v} \mathbf{f}(u, v) \\
& + \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_u^2 - \mathbf{r}(u, v)^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 f_{u,u} \mathbf{f}(u, v) \\
& + \mathbf{r}(u, v) \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 r_{v,v} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 + \mathbf{r}(u, v) \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 r_{u,u} \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 - \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_\theta g_\phi \\
& + \mathbf{f}(u, v)^4 g_{\phi,\theta} \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi) - \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_u^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3 - \mathbf{f}(u, v)^2 r_v^2 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^3)^3 / (\\
& \mathbf{f}(u, v)^{12} \mathbf{r}(u, v)^6 \mathbf{g}(\theta, \phi)^9)
\end{aligned}$$

9 Appendix C: Ricci syzygies

Syzygies for the Ricci invariants (in terms of r_1, r_2 and r_3) up to r_{10} are given by:

$$6r_4 - 5r_1r_2 = 0, \quad (40)$$

$$24r_5 + 3r_1^3 - 8r_2^2 - 18r_1r_3 = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$24r_6 - 7r_2r_1^2 - 14r_3r_2 = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$144r_7 - 36r_3^2 - 64r_1r_2^2 - 36r_1^2r_3 + 9r_1^4 = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$36r_8 - 4r_2^3 - 27r_1r_2r_3 = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$576r_9 - 160r_2^2r_3 - 180r_1r_3^2 - 160r_1^2r_2^2 + 9r_1^5 = 0, \quad (45)$$

and

$$1728r_{10} - 396r_2r_3^2 - 352r_1r_2^3 - 792r_1^2r_2r_3 + 99r_1^4r_2 = 0. \quad (46)$$

These syzygies hold *in general*, unlike the syzygy (17).